UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,972	09/22/2003	Hong Sung Song	8733.893.00 4974	
30827	7590 06/27/2007 ONG & ALDRIDGE LLP		EXAMINER	
1900 K STREET, NW			LESPERANCE, JEAN E	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,972	SONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jean E. Lesperance	2629		

	Jean E. Lesperance	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce; which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	1 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 6 P 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	out does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	10	
13. Other:	125	ALL ALL A	
	BIPIN	SHALWALA	
	SUPERVISORY TECHNOLO	PATENT EXAMINER GY CENTER 2600	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the independent claims 1 and 12, the language "wherein first thin film transistors of each column of the matrix are connected to one data line of the plurality of data lines and second thin film transistors of the column of the matrix are connected to another data line of the plurality of data lines adiacent to the one data line" is considered as new issues. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.